Triangulating a polygon

Computational Geometry

Lecture 4: Triangulating a polygon 对多边的理论之前测量

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

Polygons and visibility

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

Art gallery problem

不为像 火名》(14)
Art Gallery Problem: How many cameras are needed to guard a given art gallery so that every point is seen?

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

Art gallery problem

We don't want the line of
$$
V\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}M
$$
 and $V\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}M$ and $V\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}M$.

\nIn geometry terminology: How many points are needed in a simple polygon with *n* vertices so that every point in the polygon is seen?

\nthe optimization problem is computationally difficult, every point in the point is the point in the point is not zero.

Art Gallery Theorem:
$$
\lfloor n/3 \rfloor
$$
 cameras are occasionally necessary but always sufficient

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

Art gallery problem

Art Gallery Theorem: $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ cameras are occasionally necessary but always sufficient
 W^{target} and W^{target} nees one guard for each of these spikes, and each spike adds & corners

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

Triangulation, diagonal

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

A triangulation always exists

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

A triangulation always exists

In case 1, \overline{uw} cuts the polygon into a triangle and a simple polygon with $n-1$ vertices, and we apply induction

In case 2, *vt* cuts the polygon into two simple polygons with *m* and $n - m + 2$ vertices, $3 \le m \le n - 1$, and we also apply induction

By induction, the two polygons can be triangulated using $m-2$ and $n-m+2-2=n-m$ triangles. So the original polygon is triangulated using $m - 2 + n - m = n - 2$ triangles

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

A 3-coloring always exists
we can always triangulate a polygon v
Stookint为问题,你总能会也向隐有且从那个问题, "你能看见另一个面萨尔.

Observe: the dual graph of a triangulated simple polygon is a tree

Dual graph: each face gives a node; two nodes are connected if the faces are adjacent

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

praph will

atree

A 3-coloring always exists

Lemma: The vertices of a triangulated simple polygon can always be 3-colored **Proof:** Induction on the number of triangles in the triangulation. Base case True for a triangle

Every tree has a leaf, in particular the one that is the dual graph. Remove the corresponding triangle from the triangulated polygon, color its vertices, add the triangle back, and let the extra vertex have the color different from its neighbors

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

A 3-coloring always exists

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

$n/3$ cameras are enough

[Visibility in polygons](#page-1-0) **[Triangulation](#page-5-0)** [Proof of the Art gallery theorem](#page-8-0)

$\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ cameras are enough

Tounded down

Question: Why does the proof fail when the polygon has When we do the inductive step. we holes? say that we pref a leaf from the tree induced say that we profe a read there is a mind in the polygon,
by the triangulation, but if there is a mind there can be
then the triangulation will not induce a true yhen there can be
then the triangulation will not induce a t then the triangulation with the left we can chrose. 7 There is in I were quards. Computational Geometry [Lecture 4: Triangulating a polygon](#page-0-0)

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Two-ears for triangulation

一种昭训个的名位。

Using the two-ears theorem: (an ear consists of three consecutive vertices u, v, w where \overline{uw} is a diagonal)

Find an ear, cut it off with a diagonal triangulate the rest iteratively

Question: Why does every simple polygon have an ear?

Question: How efficient is this algorithm?

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Overview

A simple polygon is y -monotone iff any horizontal line intersects it in a connected set (or not at all) ⇒ Http 一斉俄相交了公录上则导明 Use plane sweep to partition the polygon into *y*-monotone polygons Then triangulate each *y*-monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Monotone polygons

A *y*-monotone polygon has a top vertex, a bottom vertex, and two y -monotone chains \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v} between top and bottom as its boundary

Any simple polygon with one top vertex and one bottom vertex is *y*-monotone

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Vertex types

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Sweep ideas

Find diagonals from each merge vertex down, and from each split vertex up

A simple polygon with no split (or merge vertices can have at most one start and one end vertex, so it is *y*-monotone

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Sweep ideas

Computational Geometry [Lecture 4: Triangulating a polygon](#page-0-0)

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Sweep ideas

 $W L \left\{\frac{h}{k}\right\}$ $\frac{1}{k}$ \frac split vertex go?

Perhaps the upper endpoint of the edge immediately left of the split vertex?

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Sweep ideas

Where can a diagonal from a split vertex go?

Perhaps the upper endpoint of the edge immediately left of the split vertex?

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Sweep ideas

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Sweep ideas

Where can a diagonal from a split vertex go?

Perhaps the last vertex passed in the same "component"?

Sweep ideas

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Where can a diagonal from a split vertex go? Perhaps the last vertex passed in the same "component"? The first vertex we need
when we look up from that
computational Geometry [Lecture 4: Triangulating a polygon](#page-0-0)

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Sweep ideas

Where can a diagonal from a split vertex go?

Perhaps the last vertex passed in the same "component"?

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Helpers of edges

The helper for an edge *e* that has the polygon right of it, and a position of the sweep line, is the lowest vertex *v* above the sweep line such that the horizontal line segment connecting *e* and *v* is inside the polygon

helper 4 mars
edges

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Status of sweep

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Status structure, event list

当我们遇到Splk时,连接status中心 DBG helper.

The status structure stores all edges that have the polygon to the right, with their helper, sorted from left to right in the leaves of a balanced binary search tree

The events happen only at the vertices: sort them by \tilde{y} -coordinate and put them in a list (or array, or tree) 不成一个平原了二天时

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Main algorithm

Initialize the event list (all vertices sorted by decreasing *y*-coordinate) and the status structure (empty)

While there are still events in the event list, remove the first (topmost) one and handle it

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Event handling

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Event handling

End vertex v :

o Delete the clockwise incident

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Event handling

Regular vertex *v*:

- \bullet If the polygon is right) of the two incident edges, then replace the upper edge by the lower edge in *T*, and make *v* the helper
- \bullet If the polygon is left of the two incident edges, then find the edge *e* directly left of *v*, and
replace its helper by *v* $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ replace its helper by *v*

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Event handling

Merge vertex *v*:

- Remove the edge clockwise from *v* from *T*
- Find the edge *e* directly left of *v*, and replace its helper by *v*

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Event handling

Split vertex *v*:

- **•** Find the edge *e* directly left of *v*, and choose as a diagonal the edge between its helper and *v*
- Replace the helper of *e* by *v*
- **o** Insert the edge counterclockwise from *v* in *T*, with *v* as its helper

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Efficiency

Sorting all events by *y*-coordinate takes $O(n \log n)$ time Every event takes $\phi(\log n)$ /time, because it only involves querying, inserting and deleting in *T*

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Degenerate cases

Question: Which degenerate cases arise in this algorithm?

Computational Geometry [Lecture 4: Triangulating a polygon](#page-0-0)

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Representation

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

More sweeping

With an upward sweep in each subpolygon, we can find a diagonal down from every merge vertex (which is a split vertex for an upward sweep!) This makes all subpolygons merge
y-monotone and the subpolygons merged
 γ of γ of γ of γ or γ and *y*-monotone

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Result

Theorem: A simple polygon with *n* vertices can be partitioned into y-monotone pieces in $O(n \log n)$ time

EBBERT)
$$
\sqrt{R} \times 10 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}
$$
 $\frac{m}{R} \times 10^{11} \times 10^{10} \times 10^{$

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Triangulating a monotone polygon

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

The algorithm

- Sort the vertices top-to-bottom by a merge of the two chains
- **•** Initialize a stack. Push the first two vertices
- Take the next vertex *v*, and triangulate as much as possible, top-down, while popping the stack
- Push *v* onto the stack

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Result

Theorem: A simple polygon with *n* vertices can be partitioned into *y*-monotone pieces in $O(n \log n)$ time **Theorem:** A monotone polygon with *n* vertices can be
triangulated $O(n)$ time
 $\begin{matrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{matrix}$ for each vertex will be added not triangulated *O*(*n*) time from some print. Can we immediately conclude: each will be handled A simple polygon with n vertices can be triangulated twice, each of those *O*(*n* log *n*) time ??? handle toke constant time. So therefore all in all it mill just Take linear time.

Towards an effi[cient algorithm](#page-14-0) [Partitioning into monotone pieces](#page-17-0) [Triangulating a monotone polygon](#page-39-0) [Triangulating a simple polygon](#page-58-0)

Result

We need to argue that all y-monotone polygons together that we will triangulate have $O(n)$ vertices

Initially we had n edges. We add at most $n-3$ diagonals in the sweeps. These diagonals are used on both sides as edges. So all monotone polygons together have at most $3n - 6$ edges, and therefore at most $3n - 6$ vertices

Hence we can conclude that triangulating all monotone polygons together takes only *O*(*n*) time

Theorem: A simple polygon with *n* vertices can be triangulated $O(n \log n)$ time.